



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,396	05/22/2001	David Clarke	79888/AEK	8013

1333 7590 11/01/2002

PATENT LEGAL STAFF
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY
343 STATE STREET
ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201

EXAMINER

FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1637

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/856,396

Applicant(s)

CLARKE ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffrey Fredman

Art Unit

1637

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 13-20, 23 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Status

1. The current office action is non-final because the new rejection, based upon Fujimatsu et al, was not necessitated by the amendment.


Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
4. Claims 13-18, 20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimatsu et al (U.S. Patent 4,299,914).

Fujimatsu et al teaches the following structure at column 5, No. 18 (where the S is really SO₂ and the R is C₁₂H₂₅).



The structure of Fujimatsu differs from the claimed structure only in that in the claim, the NSO₂R group is in either the ortho or para position, while in the current case, the substituted NSO₂R group is in the meta position.

Fujimatsu further teaches R₁ as an alkyl group with 8 carbons (see R at column 2, lines 34-35). Fujimatsu teaches R₃ as hydrogen (see structure in column 2).

However, Fujimatsu expressly teaches that the R₁ group can be ortho to the alkoxy group as shown by structure no 13, where the NHSO₂R group is ortho (see column 5, no. 13) and where Fujimatsu states that the X group can be orthophenylene (see column 3, line 12) which is substituted with various groups including the R₁ of compound No. 18 in column 5. Fujimatsu further teaches forming an image in a photographic element using the compounds (see column 1, lines 1-10).

Art Unit: 1637

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to an ordinary practitioner that the R1 group of Fujimatsu compound No. 18 could be attached in the ortho position since Fujimatsu expressly teaches that the compounds can be attached in either the ortho or meta positions (see column 3, lines 11 and 12). An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to substitute the compound into the ortho position since Fujimatsu expressly teaches that this is an option and recognizes the desirability of such an option by claim the orthophenylene group (see column 16, claim 20).

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimatsu et al (U.S. Patent 4,299,914) in view of Usagawa et al (U.S. patent 4,368,257).

Fujimatsu et al teaches the limitations of claims 13-18, 20, 23 and 24 as discussed above. Fujimatsu does not teach placement of the R1 group in the para position.

Usagawa et al teaches placement of the substituent into the para position (see column 2, lines 20-28 and column 3, line 49).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to an ordinary practitioner that the R1 group of Fujimatsu compound No. 18 should be attached in the para position since Usagawa states "p-phenylene is most preferable" (so that Usagawa prefers the para position in this structure for cyan dyes). Usagawa motivates this preference by noting that when the group is in the para position "the dispersion stability at the time of coating or the finish of

Art Unit: 1637

coating is improved (column 2, lines 20-21)". An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to place the R1 group of Fujimatsu in the para position in order to improve the dispersion stability.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims have R2 of Fujimatsu as a ring with two chlorine groups. There is no suggestion in the cited prior art to place such a ring on the photographic dye at the appropriate position. While there are rings in Fujimatsu and Gibson which have a single halogen substitution, there are no rings with two substitutions and no particular suggestion to make such a substitution.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1637

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.



Jeffrey Fredman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1637

October 29, 2002